

December 2025

**To:** The Director of Public Prosecutions  
Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions  
Bermuda

**Re: Request for information in connection with the review into the DNA evidence of  
Trinity DNA Solutions / Candy Zuleger**

We, the undersigned, write concerning the review conducted by you into convictions potentially affected by DNA evidence provided by Ms Candy Zuleger of Trinity DNA Solutions.

Ms. Zuleger was used as a prosecution witness in each one of our cases. As a result, we were convicted and we remain in prison to this day.

In a judgment delivered on 31 October 2024, in the case of *Julian Washington v The King*, Lord Lloyd-Jones and Lord Stephens, for the Privy Council, stated the following at paragraph 62:

*“At the hearing on 17 June 2024 Mr Poole provided further information as to the nature of the review as follows:*

*(a) The DPP will not await the identification of flaws before informing a convicted person of the existence of a review into DNA evidence. Rather, as soon as it becomes apparent that any individual in the 247 cases has been convicted then that individual will immediately be informed that a review is being conducted by Dr Llewellyn.*

*(b) Once informed the individual can make their own submissions to Dr Llewellyn and instruct their own expert.*

*(c) Dr Llewellyn’s report will be disclosed to the individual as well as being provided to the DPP.*

*(d) It is anticipated that the review will be concluded by the end of July 2024.*

*(e) Any case in which the individual is in prison will be prioritised.”*

However, despite the fact that the review has apparently concluded, not one of us have been contacted by your office or have been provided an opportunity to make submissions to Dr. Llewellyn. Therefore, we are urgently requesting the following information to be provided to us at your earliest convenience:

1. The names of all persons who were involved in the review;

2. The full methodology that was employed in conducting the review;
3. The independent forensic reports relied upon (subject to any proper redaction); and
4. The full collection of raw data on which Ms. Zuleger/Trinity DNA Solutions purported to opine on our respective cases; and
5. The reason/s for not contacting us, despite what was represented on your behalf to the Privy Council.

Given the length of time since the the above-mentioned judgment, we hope that you will treat our request with the appropriate sense urgency.

Thank you for your assistance with this matter.

Yours faithfully,

Darrion M. Simons

Jah'Mico Trott

Lyle Saba

Quincy Drayman

Jalisco Lashore

Rick Kiefer

Ramon & Mill 5(2017)

Curtis & Susan

Norris Simpson

Jeremiah Dill

Jay Dill

Antonio Myer

LEVEE ROBERTS

Jaquii Desilva-Pearman